

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

AIDS Project of the East Bay

LGBT Youth Health and Wellness Conductors Program

The LGBT Youth Health and Wellness Conductors Program (YHC), adapted from Botvin's Life Skills Training (LST) is a prevention intervention informed by social learning theory, problem behavior theory, peer cluster theory as well as AIDS Project

of the East Bay and SMAAC's practical experience. Using this adapted version of LST the YHC trains youth between the ages of 13 and 20 years old to resist health risk and risky behaviors and to diffuse these positive health seeking behaviors

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	179	65	2,090	185%	3,499	103%	3	11
Overall	993	750	121,186	128%	73,278	104%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	52%	51%
Male	44%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	0%	1%
Ages 11-14	9%	29%
Ages 15-20	88%	62%
Age 21+	2%	1%
Age Missing	2%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.


To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	100%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	100%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	100%	87%
	N=19	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	100%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	100%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	100%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	100%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	84%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	100%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	100%	93%
I learn new things here.	100%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	100%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	100%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	90%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	100%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Pro-	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	100%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	95%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	100%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	100%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	100%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	100%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

Asian Community Mental Health Services

Asian/Pacific Islander Youth Promoting Advocacy and Leadership

AYPAL involves youth, ages 13-20, in four Youth Leadership Organizations (YLO) that are based in neighborhood and ethnic-based communities in Oakland. The YLOs serve as an alternative to violence, gangs and other negative peer group influences

by (1) creating safe spaces where youth can support each other and feel part of a community; (2) empowering youth with leadership skills to make positive change through youth-led community service projects and campaign initiatives; and (3)

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	292	206	68,979	170%	31,503	145%	57	40
Overall	993	773	121,186	128%	76,515	108%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	45%	51%
Male	55%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	0%	1%
Ages 11-14	22%	29%
Ages 15-20	79%	62%
Age 21+	0%	1%
Age Missing	0%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Bar () = Overall

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.


To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	84%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	88%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	82%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	84%	87%
	N=11	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



% 1 Ratings			% 5 Ratings	
	This Program	Overall	This Program	Overall
Safe Environment	7%	5%	93%	81%
Supportive Environment	0%	2%	86%	87%
Interaction	0%	7%	83%	72%
Engagement	0%	4%	100%	77%
Overall	2%	4%	89%	80%

POS Quality Ratings

Thriving: Program provides high quality services across all four quality domains and practice areas. Defined as a site with an overall average score of 4.5 or higher.

Performing: Program provides high quality service in almost all program quality domains and practice areas, and has a few areas for additional improvement. Defined as a site with an overall average score between 3 and 4.5.

Emerging: Program is not yet providing high-quality service. Defined as a site that has an overall average lower than 3.

I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	100%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	100%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	94%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	95%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	82%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	97%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	92%	93%
I learn new things here.	93%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	90%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	96%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	84%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	96%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	84%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	92%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	90%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	90%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	88%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	82%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	84%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

Asian Health Services

Taking Charge: API Youth Leaders

In order to increase confidence and ability among low-income youth to improve health and wellbeing in their community and school environments, Asian Health Services will implement a multi-faceted youth leadership project that incorporates leadership

training, peer mentoring, and youth development activities linked to a school-based health center. Asian Health Services will conduct a Peer Leaders program for API youth, a Youth Wellness Council for Oakland High School students, and culturally

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	59	37	2,323	107%	2,092	105%	15	23
Overall	993	750	121,186	128%	73,278	104%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	100%	51%
Male	0%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	0%	1%
Ages 11-14	35%	29%
Ages 15-20	57%	62%
Age 21+	8%	1%
Age Missing	0%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Bar () = Overall

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.

To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.


	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	64%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	91%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	82%	87%
	N=29	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Taking Charge: API Youth Leaders

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	100%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	100%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	100%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	100%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	100%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	91%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	82%	93%
I learn new things here.	91%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	73%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	100%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	55%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	91%	98%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.


Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Taking Charge: API Youth Leaders

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	91%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	73%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	64%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	82%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	91%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	82%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

La Clinica de la Raza

Oakland Middle School Youth Leadership Health Collaborative

The Oakland Middle School Youth Leadership Health Collaborative is a youth/adult partnership that creates a sustainable learning community for youth leadership development to improve Oakland neighborhoods and schools. The Collaborative will

mobilize Youth Health Advocates from 6 Oakland middle schools to engage students through evidence-based practices to: 1) increase youth awareness and knowledge about physical, behavioral and environmental health; 2) frame and advance policies

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	98	89	5,717	151%	4,467	116%	28	29
Overall	993	750	121,186	128%	73,278	104%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	54%	51%
Male	46%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	0%	1%
Ages 11-14	79%	29%
Ages 15-20	2%	62%
Age 21+	0%	1%
Age Missing	19%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.

To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	93%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	90%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	97%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	100%	87%
	N=43	245


Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).

POS Quality Rating:

Thriving



(on a scale of 1-5, horizontal bar = citywide average for the strategy)

Description of Quality Domains

Safe Environment: Youth experience both physical and emotional safety. The program environment is safe and sanitary. The social environment is safe.

Supportive Environment: Adults support youth to learn and grow. Adults support youth with opportunities for active learning, for skill building, and to develop healthy relationships.

Interaction: There is a positive peer culture in the program, encouraged and supported by adults. Youth support each other. Youth experience a sense of belonging. Youth participate in small groups as members and as leaders. Youth have opportunities to partner with adults.

Engagement: Youth experience positive challenges and pursue learning. Youth have opportunities to plan, make choices, and reflect and learn from their experiences.

What the Ratings Mean

(1) A rating of one (1) indicates that the practice was not observed while the visitor was on site, or that the practice was not implemented in accordance with best practices in youth development.

(3) A rating of three (3) indicates that the practice is implemented relatively consistently across staff and activities.

(5) A five (5) rating indicates that the practice was implemented consistently and well across staff and activities.

POS Quality Ratings

Thriving: Program provides high quality services across all four quality domains and practice areas. Defined as a site with an overall average score of 4.5 or higher.

Performing: Program provides high quality service in almost all program quality domains and practice areas, and has a few areas for additional improvement. Defined as a site with an overall average score between 3 and 4.5.

Emerging: Program is not yet providing high-quality service. Defined as a site that has an overall average lower than 3.

% 1 Ratings			% 5 Ratings	
	This Program	Overall	This Program	Overall
Safe Environment	0%	5%	89%	81%
Supportive Environment	0%	2%	86%	87%
Interaction	25%	7%	75%	72%
Engagement	0%	4%	75%	77%
Overall	5%	4%	83%	80%

I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	79%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	95%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	86%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	100%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	95%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	100%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	93%	93%
I learn new things here.	100%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	96%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	100%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	84%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	100%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	93%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	97%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	93%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	97%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	79%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	97%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	100%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

Loto Taha Pasifika

Healthy Heart Healthy Mind

Based on the need to improve academic outcomes and health education specifically in Oakland's Pacific Islander (PI) community, HHHM will provide PI high school students with an integrated summer and school year program including: Academic Counseling,

Tutoring and Basic Skill Development; Health and Nutrition Education; Urban Gardening; Cooking Instruction; Dance for Physical Fitness. These activities will culminate in a student designed and led educational conference and community health

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	78	87	10,318	124%	11,203	112%	56	52
Overall	993	773	121,186	128%	76,515	108%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	47%	51%
Male	53%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	3%	1%
Ages 11-14	13%	29%
Ages 15-20	82%	62%
Age 21+	0%	1%
Age Missing	2%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Bar () = Overall

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.


To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	71%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	65%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	67%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	65%	87%
	N=77	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



Description of Quality Domains

Safe Environment: Youth experience both physical and emotional safety. The program environment is safe and sanitary. The social environment is safe.

Supportive Environment: Adults support youth to learn and grow. Adults support youth with opportunities for active learning, for skill building, and to develop healthy relationships.

Interaction: There is a positive peer culture in the program, encouraged and supported by adults. Youth support each other. Youth experience a sense of belonging. Youth participate in small groups as members and as leaders. Youth have opportunities to partner with adults.

Engagement: Youth experience positive challenges and pursue learning. Youth have opportunities to plan, make choices, and reflect and learn from their experiences.

What the Ratings Mean

(1) A rating of one (1) indicates that the practice was not observed while the visitor was on site, or that the practice was not implemented in accordance with best practices in youth development.

(3) A rating of three (3) indicates that the practice is implemented relatively consistently across staff and activities.

(5) A five (5) rating indicates that the practice was implemented consistently and well across staff and activities.

POS Quality Ratings

Thriving: Program provides high quality services across all four quality domains and practice areas. Defined as a site with an overall average score of 4.5 or higher.

Performing: Program provides high quality service in almost all program quality domains and practice areas, and has a few areas for additional improvement. Defined as a site with an overall average score between 3 and 4.5.

Emerging: Program is not yet providing high-quality service. Defined as a site that has an overall average lower than 3.

% 1 Ratings			% 5 Ratings	
	This Program	Overall	This Program	Overall
Safe Environment	0%	5%	100%	81%
Supportive Environment	0%	2%	95%	87%
Interaction	0%	7%	82%	72%
Engagement	0%	4%	88%	77%
Overall	0%	4%	93%	80%

I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	0%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	0%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	93%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	91%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	64%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	95%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	98%	93%
I learn new things here.	98%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	91%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	95%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	81%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	98%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	71%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	78%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	86%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	76%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	71%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	67%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	65%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

Native American Health Center

Indigenous Youth Voices

Indigenous Youth Voices program will develop the next generation of leaders in the Native community as well as increase youth resiliency and confidence to lead and address social problems. Youth will develop an awareness of how to impact their

worlds through a culturally relevant holistic model that integrates physical, mental, sexual, and spiritual health. Specific activities include gender-based youth groups, youth leadership development, community service projects, academic goal

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	250	256	28,191	85%	20,905	69%	21	12
Overall	993	773	121,186	128%	76,515	108%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	44%	51%
Male	56%	49%
Ages 0-5	3%	1%
Ages 6-10	3%	1%
Ages 11-14	31%	29%
Ages 15-20	51%	62%
Age 21+	2%	1%
Age Missing	11%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.


To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	94%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	88%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	96%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	96%	87%
	N=51	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses		This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).		96%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).		98%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.		90%	95%
I feel safe in this program.		94%	97%
II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses			
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.		86%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.		98%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.		88%	93%
I learn new things here.		98%	97%
III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses			
In this program, I get to help other people.		82%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.		88%	97%
IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses			
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.		82%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.		98%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	94%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	90%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	88%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	80%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	82%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	96%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	96%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

OFCY CBO-Based Out of School Time 2011 - 12 Program Profile

Youth ALIVE!

Teens On Target Violence Prevention Program

Youth ALIVE's Teens on Target program will reach youth (ages 11-20) with violence prevention and leadership training designed to improve their capacity to make better decisions about their health and well-being and to make changes in themselves

and the world around them. Program staff will train and support students (ages 14-20) from Castlemont Community of Small Schools. Once trained as peer educators, they will educate Oakland middle school youth through violence prevention workshops

Program Attendance and Enrollment

	10-11 Enrollment	11-12 Enrollment	10-11 Units of Service	10-11 UOS % Towards Target	11-12 Units of Service	11-12 UOS % Towards Target	10-11 Average Days Attended	11-12 Average Days Attended
This Program	46	33	5,144	97%	2,846	74%	48	55
Overall	993	750	121,186	128%	73,278	104%	32	29

Enrollment: The number of unduplicated children and youth served.

Units of Service (UOS): The number of service hours, a key measure of program capacity.

Average Days Attended: Indicates the frequency with which youth attended. This rate is calculated for those activities that require ongoing participant involvement; drop in activities are not included in calculation.


Participant Demographics

Gender & Age

	This Program	Overall
Female	82%	51%
Male	18%	49%
Ages 0-5	0%	1%
Ages 6-10	0%	1%
Ages 11-14	0%	29%
Ages 15-20	100%	62%
Age 21+	0%	1%
Age Missing	0%	6%

Sources: CitySpan Attendance System

Race / Ethnicity



Bar () = Overall

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

ABOUT OUTCOMES

The following table summarizes the OFCY-defined outcome measures for Wellness & Healthy Transitions – Youth Leadership programs, and provides a snapshot of available evidence of grantees' progress toward these priority outcomes. Students' self-reports are the basis for addressing these direct outcomes.


To see a full listing of survey items and responses that were used to calculate these outcomes, see page 3.

	This Program	Overall
Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.	100%	85%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.	87%	86%
Youth leaders have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.	100%	87%
	N=15	245

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012- May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. To see a full list of survey questions related to OFCY Outcomes, see page 3. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Point of Service Quality

Site visits provide observationally based data about key components of program quality. Each site received 1 visit from the evaluation team. Visits were conducted between September 2011 and May 2012 using the Youth Program Quality Assessment (YPQA).



Description of Quality Domains

Safe Environment: Youth experience both physical and emotional safety. The program environment is safe and sanitary. The social environment is safe.

Supportive Environment: Adults support youth to learn and grow. Adults support youth with opportunities for active learning, for skill building, and to develop healthy relationships.

Interaction: There is a positive peer culture in the program, encouraged and supported by adults. Youth support each other. Youth experience a sense of belonging. Youth participate in small groups as members and as leaders. Youth have opportunities to partner with adults.

Engagement: Youth experience positive challenges and pursue learning. Youth have opportunities to plan, make choices, and reflect and learn from their experiences.

What the Ratings Mean

(1) A rating of one (1) indicates that the practice was not observed while the visitor was on site, or that the practice was not implemented in accordance with best practices in youth development.

(3) A rating of three (3) indicates that the practice is implemented relatively consistently across staff and activities.

(5) A five (5) rating indicates that the practice was implemented consistently and well across staff and activities.

POS Quality Ratings

Thriving: Program provides high quality services across all four quality domains and practice areas. Defined as a site with an overall average score of 4.5 or higher.

Performing: Program provides high quality service in almost all program quality domains and practice areas, and has a few areas for additional improvement. Defined as a site with an overall average score between 3 and 4.5.

Emerging: Program is not yet providing high-quality service. Defined as a site that has an overall average lower than 3.

% 1 Ratings			% 5 Ratings	
	This Program	Overall	This Program	Overall
Safe Environment	0%	5%	53%	81%
Supportive Environment	0%	2%	95%	87%
Interaction	0%	7%	92%	72%
Engagement	0%	4%	88%	77%
Overall	0%	4%	81%	80%

I. Safe Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I have been made fun of for the way I look or talk (% disagree).	93%	95%
In this program, I have been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around (% disagree).	93%	98%
I feel like people are happy to see me here.	100%	95%
I feel safe in this program.	100%	97%

II. Supportive Environment: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I usually wish I was doing something else.	100%	87%
The staff in this program expects me to try hard to do my best.	100%	97%
The staff here tells me when I do a good job.	100%	93%
I learn new things here.	100%	97%

III. Interaction: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to help other people.	100%	90%
I feel like I belong at this program.	100%	97%

IV. Engagement: Youth Survey Responses

	This Program	Overall
In this program, I get to decide things like activities and group agreements.	80%	79%
The staff members here listen to what I have to say.	100%	98%


The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.

Progress Towards OFCY Outcomes

COMPOSITE SCORES The table below lists the composite scores for each OFCY-defined outcome. The percentage is calculated based on youth respondents who respond positively to a proportion of the total number of survey items within each domain; that proportion is noted in parenthesis with the outcome domain.

Overall Averages by Outcomes



Youth are more aware about the ways to change their behavior, school, or community climate that promotes improved health and wellbeing	This Program	Overall
I can help other people make healthy choices.	100%	88%
Youth have greater confidence in their ability to lead.		
Since coming to this program, I make better decisions.	93%	91%
Since coming to this program, I am better at setting goals for myself.	100%	89%
Since coming to this program, I am more of a leader.	93%	86%
Since coming to this program, I am better at taking care of problems without violence or fighting.	100%	84%
Youth have increased ability to make better decisions about their health and wellbeing.		
This program helps me make good choices about my health.	87%	86%
Youth have increased confidence to address and resolve problems in school and physical health.		
I learned about the factors that affect people's health in this program.	100%	87%

The above reported results represent the % of Youth who answered "Yes" to the survey item.

Sources: Youth survey, March 2012 - May 2012. Data is not reported for questions with less than 5 respondents. Overall figures are provided for citywide average for this strategy.